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## Shared responsibility

System designers are responsible for the design, operation and the use of the road transport system and are thereby responsible for the level of safety within the entire system.

Road users are responsible for following the rules for using the road transport system set by the system designers.

If the users fail to comply with these rules due to a lack of knowledge, acceptance or ability, the system designers are required to take the necessary further steps to counteract people being killed or injured.

## Vision Zero's 5 main components

- Vision for many stakeholder
- Ethical platform
- Shared responsibility
- Driving forces for change
- Safety philosophy



## Shared Vision

1. After ten years, more or less all stakeholders share the vision
2. The private sector and NGO adopted the vision early
3. Ratified by the Swedish Parliament in 1997

The most far reaching approach, so far, has been set by Volvo Cars - in 2020 no one will be killed or seriously in or by Volvo
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## Vision Zero a road safety policy innovation

$\left.$| Traditional approach | Vision Zero approach |
| :--- | :--- |
| Accidents | Serious injuries |
| Individual road user behavior | The system is not designed <br> according to human capability <br> and human tolerance against <br> external violence - in other <br> words what the human body <br> can stand. |
| Rystem designers have primary <br> responsibility |  |
| responsibility |  |$\quad$| People demand safety |
| :--- | \right\rvert\, | Change the environment (road |
| :--- |
| environment, vehicles, support |
| good social norms) |

Vision Zero - a road safety policy innovation DOI:10.1080/17457300.2011.635213 Matts-Åke Belin, Per Tillgren \& Evert Vedung
Available online: 14 Dec 2011 http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/17457300.2011.635213

## Speed limit, road design and car design goes hand in hand!

- Crash test $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ into tree

- Crash test $90 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ into guard rail



## The Target - Volvo Vision 2020



Our Vision is that no one is killed or injured in a new Volvo by 2020

## The vehicle of the future - Just like the farmer's horse:

- Can be steered actively and in full control by the farmer but
- It can handle a situation where the farmer falls asleep and still find its way home and
- It will not accept being
 steered into a tree or off a cliff


## Division of Responsibilities

Example of common view on the division of responsibilities.

| Below this |
| :--- |
| speed: |
| vehicle |
| responsible. |
| Above this <br> speed: <br> infrastructure <br> responsible. |
| 40 |

## Safe Vehicle

Safety improvements
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## Safety performance Indicators

| Indicator | Start 2007 | Result 2016 | $\sim$ effect | Target for 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities | 440 | 265 |  | 220 |
| Seriously injured | 5400 | 4500 |  | 4100 |
| 1. Speed compliance, state roads | 43 \% | 44 \% | $\sim 88$ lives | 80 \% |
| 2. Speed compliance, municipal streets | 64 \% | 67 \% | ~ 29 lives | 80 \% |
| 3. Sober traffic | 99,71 \% | 99,76 \% | $\sim 30$ lives | 99,90 \% |
| 4. Seat belt use | 96 \% | 98 \% | $\sim 40$ lives | 99 \% |
| 5. Helmet use |  |  |  |  |
| - Bicycle helmet | 25 \% | 36 \% | $\sim 10$ lives | 70 \% |
| - Moped helmet | $96 \%$ (2012) | 95 \% |  | 99 \% |
| 6. Safe passenger cars | 20\% | 67 \% | $\sim 90$ lives | 80 \% |
| 7. Motorcyclist compliance | - | - |  | New indicator |
| 8. Safe state roads | 50 \% | 75 \% | $\sim 62$ lives | 75 \% - 90 \% |
| 9. Safe pedestrian passages across streets | 19 \% | 26 \% | $\sim 10$ lives | 35 \% |
| 10. Maintenance of bicycle lanes | 18 \% (2013) | 40 \% (2015) |  | 70\% |
| 11. Systematic road safety work | - | - |  | New indicator |

## Intersections to roundabouts



## Speed as the regulator for interactions in urban areas



20
Mixed traffic


- Divided but with interac High speed bicycle


## Identify the safe system
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